

THE STRONGLY SYMMETRIC ELEMENTS AND SOLUTIONS OF YANG-BAXTER EQUATION *

Shouchuan Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, 210008

P.R.China

Abstract

It is shown that all strongly symmetric elements are solutions of constant classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie algebra, or of quantum Yang-Baxter equation in algebra. Otherwise, all solutions of constant classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE) in Lie algebra L with $\dim L \leq 3$ over field k of characteristic 2 are obtained

0 Introduction

The Yang-Baxter equation first came up in a paper by Yang as factorization condition of the scattering S-matrix in the many-body problem in one dimension and in work of Baxter on exactly solvable models in statistical mechanics. It has been playing an important role in mathematics and physics (see [1] , [9]). Attempts to find solutions of The Yang-Baxter equation in a systematic way have led to the theory of quantum groups. The Yang-Baxter equation is of many forms. The classical Yang-Baxter equation and quantum Yang-Baxter equation are two kinds of them.

In many applications one need to know the solutions of the two equations. The author in [10] systematically studied the solutions of low dimensional Lie algebras, found strongly symmetric elements and shew that all of them are solutions of CYBE. Naturally, we would like to ask the following questions:

- (1) Is every strongly element in $L \otimes L$ a solution of CYBE for any dimensional Lie algebra L ?
- (2) Do the conclusions in (BI2494R) hold for field of characteristic 2 ?

*This work is supported by National Science Foundation

(3) Is every strongly symmetric element in $A \otimes A$ a solution of QYBE for any algebra A ?

The resolution of these questions is very necessary for not only physics but also mathematics.

To answer these questions, the author write the paper. In this paper, the author shows that all strongly symmetric elements are solutions of constant classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie algebra, or of quantum Yang-Baxter equation in algebra. Otherwise, to bring the paper [10] completion, the author find all solutions of CYBE in Lie algebra L with $\dim L \leq 3$ over field k of characteristic 2.

Let k be a field and L be a Lie algebra over k . If $r = \sum a_i \otimes b_i \in L \otimes L$ and $x \in L$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} [r^{12}, r^{13}] &:= \sum_{i,j} [a_i, a_j] \otimes b_i \otimes b_j \\ [r^{12}, r^{23}] &:= \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes [b_i, a_j] \otimes b_j \\ [r^{13}, r^{23}] &:= \sum_{i,j} a_i \otimes a_j \otimes [b_i, b_j] \end{aligned}$$

and call

$$[r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}] = 0$$

the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE).

Let A be an algebra and $R = \sum a_i \otimes b_i \in A \otimes A$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12} &= \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \otimes 1 \\ R^{13} &= \sum_i a_i \otimes 1 \otimes b_i \\ R^{23} &= \sum_i 1 \otimes a_i \otimes b_i \end{aligned}$$

and call

$$R^{12} R^{13} R^{23} = R^{23} R^{13} R^{12}$$

the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE).

1 Strongly symmetric elements

In this section, we show that all strongly symmetric elements are solutions of constant classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie algebra, or of quantum Yang-Baxter equation in algebra.

Definition 1.1 Let $\{e_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ be a basis of vector space V and $r = \sum_{i,j \in \Omega} k_{ij}(e_i \otimes e_j) \in V \otimes V$, where $k_{ij} \in k$,

If

$$k_{ij} = k_{ji} \quad k_{ij}k_{lm} = k_{il}k_{jm}$$

for $i, j, l, m \in \Omega$ then r is called strongly symmetric to the basis $\{e_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$

Obviously, symmetry does not depend on the particular choice of basis of V . We need to know if strong symmetry depends on the particular choice of basis of V .

Lemma 1.2 Let $\{e_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ be a basis of vector space V and $r = \sum_{i,j \in \Omega} k_{ij}(e_i \otimes e_j) \in V \otimes V$, where $k_{ij} \in k$. Then

- (I) The strong symmetry does not depend on the particular choice of basis of V ;
- (II) r is strongly symmetric iff

$$k_{ij}k_{lm} = k_{il}k_{jm}$$

for any $i, j, l, m \in \Omega$

- (III) r is strongly symmetric iff

$$k_{ij}k_{lm} = k_{st}k_{uv}$$

where $\{s, t, u, v\}$ is a combination of $\{i, j, l, m\}$ for any $i, j, l, m \in \Omega$

- (IV) r is strongly symmetric iff

$r = 0$; or

$r = \sum_{i,j \in \Omega} k_{i_0i}k_{i_0j}k_{i_0i_0}^{-1}(e_i \otimes e_j) \in V \otimes V$, where $k_{i_0i_0} \neq 0$;

Proof. (I) Let $\{e'_i \mid i \in \Omega'\}$ be another basis of V . It is sufficient to show that r is strongly symmetric to the basis $\{e'_i \mid i \in \Omega'\}$. Obviously, there exists $q_{ij} \in k$ such that $e_i = \sum_s e'_s q_{si}$ for $i \in \Omega$. By computation, we have that

$$r = \sum_{s,t \in \Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j \in \Omega'} k_{ij} q_{si} q_{tj} \right) (e'_s \otimes e'_t).$$

If set $k'_{st} = \sum_{i,j} k_{ij} q_{si} q_{tj}$, then for $l, m, u, v \in \Omega'$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k'_{lm} k'_{uv} &= \sum_{i,j,s,t} k_{ij} k_{st} q_{li} q_{mj} q_{us} q_{vt} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,s,t} k_{is} k_{jt} q_{li} q_{mj} q_{us} q_{vt} \quad (\text{by } k_{ij} k_{st} = k_{is} k_{jt}) \\ &= k'_{lu} k'_{mv} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k'_{lm} = k'_{ml}$$

which implies that r is strongly symmetric to the basis $\{e'_i \mid i \in \Omega'\}$

(II) The necessity is obvious. Now we sufficiency. For any $i, j \in \Omega$, if $k_{ii} \neq 0$, then $k_{ij} = k_{ji}$ since $k_{ij}k_{ii} = k_{ii}k_{ji}$. If $k_{ii} = 0$, then $k_{ij} = k_{ji} = 0$ since $k_{ij}^2 = k_{ii}k_{jj} = 0 = k_{ji}^2$.

(III) The sufficiency follows from part (II). Now we show the necessity. For any $i, j, l, m \in \Omega$, we see that $k_{ij}k_{lm} = k_{ij}k_{ml} = k_{il}k_{jm} = k_{il}k_{mj} = k_{im}k_{lj} = k_{im}k_{jl}$

Similarly, we can get that $k_{ij}k_{lm} = k_{st}k_{uv}$ when $s = j$ or $s = l$ or $s = m$.

(IV) If r is a case in part (IV), then r is strongly symmetric by straightforward verification. Conversely, if r is strongly symmetric and $r \neq 0$, then there exists $i_0 \in \Omega$ such that $k_{i_0 i_0} \neq 0$. Thus $k_{ij} = k_{i_0 i} k_{i_0 j} k_{i_0 i_0}^{-1}$ $\square$

If A is an algebra then we can get a Lie algebra $L(A)$ by defining $[x, y] = xy - yx$ for any $x, y \in A$.

Theorem 1.3 *Let A be an algebra and $r \in A \otimes A$ be strongly symmetric. Then r is a solution of CYBE in $L(A)$ and of QYBE in A .*

Proof. Let $\{e_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ be a basis of A and the multiplication of A be defined as follows:

$$e_i e_j = \sum_m a_{ij}^m e_m$$

for any $i, j \in \Omega$

We first show that r is a solution of CYBE in Lie algebra $L(A)$. By computation, for all $i, j, l \in \Omega$, we have that the coefficient of $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_l$ in $[r^{12}, r^{13}]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{st} a_{s,t}^i k_{sj} k_{tl} - a_{ts}^i k_{sj} k_{tl} \\ &= \sum_{s,t} a_{st}^i k_{sj} k_{tl} - \sum_{s,t} a_{st}^i k_{tj} k_{sl} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by Lemma 1.2 (III)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that the coefficients of $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_l$ in $[r^{12}, r^{23}]$ and $[r^{13}, r^{23}]$ are zero. Thus r is a solution of CYBE in $L(A)$.

Next we show that r is a solution of QYBE in algebra A . We denote r by R since the solution of QYBE is usually donoted by R .

By computation, for all $i, j, l \in \Omega$, we have that the coefficient of $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_l$ in $R^{12} R^{13} R^{23}$ is

$$\sum_{s,t,u,v,w,m} a_{st}^i a_{um}^j a_{vw}^l k_{su} k_{tv} k_{mw}$$

and the coefficient of $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_l$ in $R^{23} R^{13} R^{12}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s,t,u,v,w,m} a_{tm}^i a_{sw}^j a_{uv}^l k_{su} k_{tv} k_{mw} \\ &= \sum_{s,t,u,v,w,m} a_{st}^i a_{um}^j a_{vw}^l k_{su} k_{tv} k_{mw} \quad \text{by Lemma 1.2 (III)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus r is a solution of QYBE in algebra A . $\square$

Corollary 1.4 *Let L be a Lie algebra and $r \in L \otimes L$ be strongly symmetric. Then r is a solution of CYBE.*

Proof: Let A denote the universal enveloping algebra of L . It is clear that r is also strongly symmetric in $A \otimes A$. Thus

$$[r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}] = 0$$

by Theorem 1.3. Consequently, r is a solution of CYBE in L . $\square$

2 The solutions of CYBE

In this section, we find the general solution of CYBE for Lie algebra L over field k of characteristic 2 with $\dim L \leq 3$. Throughout this section, the characteristic of k is 2.

Proposition 2.1 *Let L be a Lie algebra with $\dim L = 2$. Then r is a solution of CYBE iff r is symmetric.*

Proof. It is not hard since the tensor r has only 4 coefficients. $\square$

If $r = x(e_1 \otimes e_1) + y(e_2 \otimes e_2) + z(e_3 \otimes e_3) + p(e_1 \otimes e_2) + p(e_2 \otimes e_1) + s(e_1 \otimes e_3) + s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) + u(e_3 \otimes e_2)$ with $\alpha yz + \beta xz + xy + \beta s^2 + \alpha u^2 + p^2 = 0$, then r is called α, β -symmetric to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Proposition 2.2 *Let L be a Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = \alpha e_1, [e_3, e_1] = \beta e_2$, where $\alpha, \beta \in k$. Let $p, q, s, t, u, v, x, y, z \in k$.*

Then (I) r is a solution of CYBE in L iff r is α, β -symmetric to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

(II) If r is strongly symmetric, then r is a solution of CYBE in L

Proof . Let $r = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 k_{ij}(e_i \otimes e_j) \in L \otimes L$ and $k_{ij} \in k$, with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} [r^{12}, r^{13}] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{s,t=1}^3 k_{ij} k_{st} [e_i, e_s] \otimes e_j \otimes e_t \\ [r^{12}, r^{23}] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{s,t=1}^3 k_{ij} k_{st} e_i \otimes [e_j, e_s] \otimes e_t \\ [r^{13}, r^{23}] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{s,t=1}^3 k_{ij} k_{st} e_i \otimes e_s \otimes [e_j, e_t] \end{aligned}$$

By computation, for all $i, j, n = 1, 2, 3$, we have that the coefficient of $e_j \otimes e_i \otimes e_i$ in $[r^{12}, r^{13}]$ is zero and $e_i \otimes e_i \otimes e_j$ in $[r^{13}, r^{23}]$ is zero.

We now see the coefficient of $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_n$

in $[r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}]$.

- (1) $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1(\alpha k_{12}k_{31} - \alpha k_{13}k_{21})$
- (2) $e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{21}k_{32} + \beta k_{23}k_{12})$
- (3) $e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3(k_{31}k_{23} - k_{32}k_{13})$
- (4) $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3(\alpha k_{22}k_{33} - \alpha k_{32}k_{23} - \beta k_{11}k_{33} + \beta k_{13}k_{13} + k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}k_{21})$
- (5) $e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(\beta k_{33}k_{11} - \beta k_{13}k_{31} - k_{22}k_{11} + k_{21}k_{21} + \alpha k_{22}k_{33} - \alpha k_{23}k_{32})$
- (6) $e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2(k_{11}k_{22} - k_{21}k_{12} - \alpha k_{33}k_{22} + \alpha k_{32}k_{32} + \beta k_{33}k_{11} - \beta k_{31}k_{13})$
- (7) $e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2(-\alpha k_{33}k_{22} + \alpha k_{23}k_{32} + k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}k_{12} - \beta k_{11}k_{33} + \beta k_{13}k_{31})$
- (8) $e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1(-k_{22}k_{11} + k_{12}k_{21} + \beta k_{33}k_{11} - \beta k_{31}k_{31} - \alpha k_{33}k_{22} + \alpha k_{32}k_{23})$
- (9) $e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3(-\beta k_{11}k_{33} + \beta k_{31}k_{13} + \alpha k_{22}k_{33} - \alpha k_{23}k_{23} - k_{22}k_{11} + k_{21}k_{12})$
- (10) $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2(-\alpha k_{31}k_{22} + \alpha k_{21}k_{32} + \alpha k_{12}k_{32} - \alpha k_{13}k_{22})$
- (11) $e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1(-\alpha k_{23}k_{21} + \alpha k_{22}k_{31} + \alpha k_{22}k_{13} - \alpha k_{23}k_{12})$
- (12) $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3(\alpha k_{21}k_{33} - \alpha k_{31}k_{23} + \alpha k_{12}k_{33} - \alpha k_{13}k_{23})$
- (13) $e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1(\alpha k_{32}k_{31} - \alpha k_{33}k_{21} + \alpha k_{32}k_{13} - \alpha k_{33}k_{12})$
- (14) $e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1(-\beta k_{12}k_{31} + \beta k_{32}k_{11} + \beta k_{23}k_{11} - \beta k_{21}k_{31})$
- (15) $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{11}k_{32} + \beta k_{13}k_{12} - \beta k_{11}k_{23} + \beta k_{13}k_{21})$
- (16) $e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3(\beta k_{32}k_{13} - \beta k_{12}k_{33} - \beta k_{21}k_{33} + \beta k_{23}k_{13})$
- (17) $e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{31}k_{32} + \beta k_{33}k_{12} + \beta k_{33}k_{21} - \beta k_{31}k_{23})$
- (18) $e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(k_{13}k_{21} - k_{23}k_{11} + k_{31}k_{21} - k_{32}k_{11})$
- (19) $e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2(-k_{23}k_{12} + k_{13}k_{22} - k_{32}k_{12} + k_{31}k_{22})$
- (20) $e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3(k_{21}k_{23} - k_{22}k_{13} + k_{21}k_{32} - k_{22}k_{31})$
- (21) $e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3(-k_{12}k_{13} + k_{11}k_{23} - k_{12}k_{31} + k_{11}k_{32})$
- (22) $e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(\alpha k_{23}k_{31} - \alpha k_{33}k_{21} - k_{12}k_{11} + k_{11}k_{21} + \alpha k_{12}k_{33} - \alpha k_{13}k_{32})$
- (23) $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1(-\alpha k_{32}k_{21} + \alpha k_{22}k_{31} - \beta k_{11}k_{31} + \beta k_{13}k_{11} - \alpha k_{13}k_{22} + \alpha k_{12}k_{23})$
- (24) $e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2(\beta k_{31}k_{12} - \beta k_{11}k_{32} + \alpha k_{22}k_{32} - \alpha k_{23}k_{22} - \beta k_{21}k_{13} + \beta k_{23}k_{11})$
- (25) $e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{13}k_{32} + \beta k_{33}k_{12} + k_{21}k_{22} - k_{22}k_{12} - \beta k_{21}k_{33} + \beta k_{23}k_{31})$
- (26) $e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3(k_{12}k_{23} - k_{22}k_{13} - \beta k_{31}k_{33} + \beta k_{33}k_{13} + k_{31}k_{22} - k_{32}k_{21})$
- (27) $e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3(-k_{21}k_{13} + k_{11}k_{23} + \alpha k_{32}k_{33} - \alpha k_{33}k_{23} + k_{31}k_{12} - k_{32}k_{11})$.

Let $k_{11} = x, k_{22} = y, k_{33} = z, k_{12} = p, k_{21} = q, k_{13} = s, k_{31} = t, k_{23} = u, k_{32} = v$.

It follows from (1)-(27) that

- (28) $\alpha pt = \alpha qs$
- (29) $\beta qv = \beta pu$
- (30) $tu = vs$
- (31) $\alpha yz - \beta xz + xy - \alpha uv + \beta s^2 - pq = 0$.
- (32) $\beta zx - yx + \alpha yz - \beta st + q^2 - \alpha uv = 0$
- (33) $xy - \alpha zy + \beta zx - pq + \alpha v^2 - \beta st = 0$
- (34) $-\alpha zy + xy - \beta xz + \alpha uv - p^2 + \beta st = 0$
- (35) $-xy + \beta xz - \alpha yz + pq - \beta t^2 + \alpha uv = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad & -\beta xz + \alpha yz - yx + \beta st - \alpha u^2 + pq = 0 \\
(37) \quad & \alpha(-ty + qv + pv - sy) = 0 \\
(38) \quad & \alpha(-uq + yt + ys - up) = 0 \\
(39) \quad & \alpha(qz - tu + pz - su) = 0 \\
(40) \quad & \alpha(vt - zq + vs - zp) = 0 \\
(41) \quad & \beta(-pt + vx + ux - qt) = 0 \\
(42) \quad & \beta(-xv + sp - xu + sq) = 0 \\
(43) \quad & \beta(vs - pz + us - qz) = 0 \\
(44) \quad & \beta(-tv + zp + zq - tu) = 0 \\
(45) \quad & sq - ux + tq - vx = 0 \\
(46) \quad & -up + sy - vp + ty = 0 \\
(47) \quad & qu - ys + qv - yt = 0 \\
(48) \quad & -ps + xu - pt + xv = 0. \\
(49) \quad & \alpha ut - \alpha zq - px + xq + \alpha pz - \alpha sv = 0 \\
(50) \quad & -\alpha vq + \alpha yt - \beta xt + \beta sx - \alpha sy + \alpha pu = 0 \\
(51) \quad & \beta tp - \beta xv + \alpha yv - \alpha uy - \beta qs + \beta ux = 0 \\
(52) \quad & -\beta sv + \beta zp + qy - yp - \beta qz + \beta ut = 0 \\
(53) \quad & pu - ys - \beta tz + \beta zs + ty - vq = 0 \\
(54) \quad & -qs + xu + \alpha vz - \alpha zu + tp - vx = 0
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that r is the solution of CYBE iff relations (28)-(54) hold.

(I) By computation, we have that if r is α, β -symmetric then relations (28)-(54) hold and so r is a solution of CYBE.

Conversely, if r is a solution of CYBE, then, by computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(55) \quad & q^2 = p^2 \text{ (by (34)+ (32))}; \\
(56) \quad & u^2 = v^2 \text{ (by (33)+ (36))}; \\
(57) \quad & t^2 = s^2 \text{ (by (31)+ (35))};
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $p = q, s = t$ and $u = v$ since $\text{char } k = 2$.

By relation (31), we have that

$$\alpha yz + \beta xz + xy + \beta s^2 + \alpha u^2 + p^2 = 0.$$

Thus r is α, β -symmetric.

(II) It is clear that if r is strongly symmetric then r is α, β -symmetric. Consequently, r is a solution of CYBE by part (I). $\square$

In particular, Proposition 2.2 implies:

Example 2.3 *Let*

$$sl(2) := \{x \mid x \text{ is a } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrix with trace zero over } k\}$$

and

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus L is a Lie algebra (defined by $[x, y] = xy - yx$) with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. It is clear that

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = 0e_1, [e_3, e_1] = 0e_2.$$

Consequently, r is a solution of CYBE iff r is 0, 0- symmetric to the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Proposition 2.4 *Let L be a Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $[e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = e_1 + \beta e_2, [e_2, e_3] = \delta e_2$, where $\beta, \delta \in k$. Let $p, q, s, t, u, v, x, y, z \in k$.*

(I) If r is strongly symmetric, then r is a solution of CYBE.

(II) If $\beta = 0, \delta \neq 0$, then r is a solution of CYBE in L iff

$$r = p(e_1 \otimes e_2) + q(e_2 \otimes e_1) + s(e_1 \otimes e_3) + s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) + u(e_3 \otimes e_2) + x(e_1 \otimes e_1) + y(e_2 \otimes e_2) + z(e_3 \otimes e_3)$$

where $(\delta+1)zp = (\delta+1)qz = (\delta+1)us, (\delta+1)uq = (\delta+1)up$ and $(\delta+1)ps = (\delta+1)qs$

(III) If $\beta \neq 0, \delta = 1$, then r is a solution of CYBE in L iff r

$$r = p(e_1 \otimes e_2) + q(e_2 \otimes e_1) + s(e_1 \otimes e_3) + s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) + u(e_3 \otimes e_2) + x(e_1 \otimes e_1) + y(e_2 \otimes e_2) + z(e_3 \otimes e_3)$$

with $sp = sq, up = qu, zq = zp$ and $s^2 = xz$.

(IV) If $\beta = \delta = 0$, then r is a solution of CYBE in L iff

$$r = p(e_1 \otimes e_2) + q(e_2 \otimes e_1) + s(e_1 \otimes e_3) + s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) + v(e_3 \otimes e_2) + x(e_1 \otimes e_1) + y(e_2 \otimes e_2) + z(e_3 \otimes e_3)$$

with $vs = pz, us = qz, qv = pu$ and $(u+v)x = (p+q)s$.

Proof. Let $r = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 k_{ij}(e_i \otimes e_j) \in L \otimes L$ and $k_{ij} \in k$, with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. By computation, for all $i, j, n = 1, 2, 3$, we have that the coefficient of $e_j \otimes e_i \otimes e_i$ in $[r^{12}, r^{13}]$ is zero and $e_i \otimes e_i \otimes e_j$ in $[r^{13}, r^{23}]$ is zero.

We now see the coefficient of $e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_n$

in $[r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}]$.

- (1) $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1(-k_{13}k_{11} + k_{11}k_{31});$
- (2) $e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{23}k_{12} + \beta k_{21}k_{32} - \delta k_{23}k_{22} + \delta k_{22}k_{32});$
- (3) $e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3(0);$
- (4) $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3(-k_{32}k_{13} + k_{12}k_{33} - \beta k_{13}k_{13} + \beta k_{11}k_{33} - \delta k_{13}k_{23} + \delta k_{12}k_{33});$
- (5) $e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(-\beta k_{33}k_{11} + \beta k_{13}k_{31} - \delta k_{33}k_{21} + \delta k_{23}k_{31} - k_{23}k_{31} + k_{21}k_{33});$
- (6) $e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2(-k_{33}k_{12} + k_{31}k_{32} - \beta k_{33}k_{11} + \beta k_{31}k_{13} - \delta k_{33}k_{12} + \delta k_{32}k_{13});$
- (7) $e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2(-k_{33}k_{12} + k_{13}k_{32} - \beta k_{13}k_{32} + \beta k_{11}k_{33} - \delta k_{13}k_{32} + \delta k_{12}k_{33});$
- (8) $e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1(-\beta k_{33}k_{11} + \beta k_{31}k_{31} - \delta k_{33}k_{21} + \delta k_{32}k_{31} - k_{33}k_{21} + k_{31}k_{23});$
- (9) $e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3(-\beta k_{31}k_{13} + \beta k_{11}k_{33} - \delta k_{31}k_{23} + \delta k_{21}k_{33} - k_{23}k_{13} + k_{21}k_{33});$
- (10) $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2(-k_{31}k_{12} + k_{11}k_{32} - k_{13}k_{12} + k_{11}k_{32});$

- (11) $e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1(-k_{23}k_{11} + k_{21}k_{31} - k_{23}k_{11} + k_{21}k_{13});$
- (12) $e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3(-k_{31}k_{13} + k_{11}k_{33} - k_{13}k_{13} + k_{11}k_{33});$
- (13) $e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1(-k_{33}k_{11} + k_{31}k_{31} - k_{33}k_{11} + k_{31}k_{13});$
- (14) $e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1(-\beta k_{32}k_{11} + \beta k_{12}k_{31} - \delta k_{32}k_{21} + \delta k_{22}k_{31} - \beta k_{23}k_{11} + \beta k_{21}k_{31} - \delta k_{23}k_{21} + \delta k_{22}k_{31});$
- (15) $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{13}k_{12} + \beta k_{11}k_{32} - \delta k_{13}k_{22} + \delta k_{12}k_{32} - \beta k_{13}k_{21} + \beta k_{11}k_{23} - \delta k_{13}k_{22} + \delta k_{12}k_{23});$
- (16) $e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3(-\beta k_{32}k_{13} + \beta k_{12}k_{33} - \delta k_{32}k_{23} + \delta k_{22}k_{33} - \beta k_{23}k_{13} + \beta k_{21}k_{33} - \delta k_{23}k_{23} + \delta k_{22}k_{33});$
- (17) $e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{33}k_{12} + \beta k_{31}k_{32} - \delta k_{33}k_{22} + \delta k_{32}k_{32} - \beta k_{33}k_{21} + \beta k_{31}k_{23} - \delta k_{33}k_{22} + \delta k_{32}k_{23});$
- (18) $e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(0);$
- (19) $e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3(0);$
- (20) $e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2(0);$
- (21) $e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3(0);$
- (22) $e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(-k_{33}k_{11} + k_{13}k_{31} - k_{13}k_{31} + k_{11}k_{33}) = e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1(0);$
- (23) $e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1(-k_{32}k_{11} + k_{12}k_{31} - \beta k_{13}k_{11} + \beta k_{11}k_{31} - \delta k_{13}k_{21} + \delta k_{12}k_{31} - k_{13}k_{21} + k_{11}k_{23});$
- (24) $e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{31}k_{12} + \beta k_{11}k_{32} - \delta k_{31}k_{22} + \delta k_{21}k_{32} - k_{23}k_{12} + k_{21}k_{32} - \beta k_{23}k_{11} + \beta k_{21}k_{13} - \delta k_{23}k_{12} + \delta k_{22}k_{13});$
- (25) $e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2(-\beta k_{33}k_{12} + \beta k_{13}k_{32} - \delta k_{33}k_{22} + \delta k_{23}k_{32} - \beta k_{23}k_{31} + \beta k_{21}k_{33} + \delta k_{33}k_{22} - \delta k_{22}k_{33});$
- (26) $e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3(-\beta k_{33}k_{13} + \beta k_{31}k_{33} - \delta k_{33}k_{23} + \delta k_{32}k_{33});$
- (27) $e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3(-k_{33}k_{13} + k_{31}k_{33});$

Let $k_{11} = x, k_{22} = y, k_{33} = z, k_{12} = p, k_{21} = q, k_{13} = s, k_{31} = t, k_{23} = u, k_{32} = v$.

It follows from (1)-(27) that

- (28) $-sx + xt = 0;$
- (29) $-\beta up + \beta qv - \delta uy + \delta yv = 0;$
- (30) $-vs + pz - \beta s^2 + \beta xz - \delta su + \delta zp = 0;$
- (31) $-\beta xz + \beta st - \delta zq + \delta ut - ut + qz = 0;$
- (32) $-zp + tv - \beta zx + \beta ts - \delta zp + \delta vs = 0;$
- (33) $-zp + sv - \beta st + \beta xz - \delta sv + \delta zp = 0;$
- (34) $-\beta zx + \beta tt - \delta zq + \delta vt - zq + tu = 0;$
- (35) $-\beta st + \beta xz - \delta tu + \delta qz - us + qz = 0;$
- (36) $-tp + xv - sp + vx = 0;$
- (37) $-ux + qt - ux + qs = 0;$
- (38) $-st + xz - s^2 + xz = 0;$
- (39) $-zx + tt - zx + st = 0;$
- (40) $-\beta vx + \beta pt - \delta vq + \delta yt - \beta ux + \beta qt - \delta uq + \delta yt = 0;$

- (41) $-\beta sp + \beta xv - \delta sy + \delta pv - \beta sq + \beta xu - \delta sy + \delta pu = 0;$
- (42) $-\beta vs + \beta pz - \delta vu + \delta yz - \beta su + \beta zq - \delta u^2 + \delta yz = 0;$
- (43) $-\beta zp + \beta tv - \delta zy + \delta vv - \beta zq + \beta tu - \delta zy + \delta vu = 0;$
- (44) $-vx + pt - \beta sx + \beta xt - \delta sq + \delta pt - sq + xu = 0;$
- (45) $-\beta pt + \beta vx - \delta ty + \delta qv - up + qv - \beta ux + \beta qs - \delta up + \delta ys = 0;$
- (46) $-\beta zp + \beta sv - \beta ut + \beta qz = 0;$
- (47) $-\beta zs + \beta tz - \delta zu + \delta vz = 0;$
- (48) $-zs + tz = 0;$

It is clear that r is a solution of CYBE iff (28)-(48) hold.

By computation we have that

$$(49) \quad t = s \text{ (by (39) + (38))}$$

$$(50) \quad \delta u = \delta v \text{ (by (42) + (43)).}$$

(I) It is trivial.

(II) Let $\beta = 0$ and $\delta \neq 0$. If r is the case in part (II), then r is a solution of CYBE by straightforward verification. Conversely, if r is a solution of CYBE, then $u = v$ by (50) and we have that

$$(1 + \delta)zp = (1 + \delta)us \quad \text{by (30)}$$

$$(1 + \delta)zq = (1 + \delta)us \quad \text{by (31)}$$

$$(1 + \delta)sp = (1 + \delta)qs \quad \text{by (44)}$$

$$(1 + \delta)qu = (1 + \delta)up \quad \text{by (45)}$$

Thus r is the case in part (II).

(III) Let $\beta \neq 0, \delta = 1$. If r is the case in part (III) then r is a solution of CYBE by straightforward verification. Conversely, if r is a solution of CYBE then $u = v$ by (50) and we have that

$$up = qu \quad \text{by (29)}$$

$$s^2 = xz \quad \text{by (30)}$$

$$sp = qs \quad \text{by (40)}$$

$$zp = zq \quad \text{by (46)}$$

Thus r is the case in part (III).

(IV) Let $\beta = \delta = 0$. It is clear that the system of equations (28)-(48) is equivalent to the below

$$\begin{cases} us = qz \\ vs = zp \\ up = qv \\ (p + q)s = (u + v)x \end{cases}$$

Thus we complete the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.5 *Let L is a Lie algebra with $\dim L \leq 3$ and $r \in L \otimes L$. If r is strongly symmetric, then r is a solution of CYBE in L .*

Proof . If k is algebraically closed, then r is a solution of CYBE by Proposition 2.2, 2.4 and 2.1. If k is not algebraically closed, let P be algebraically closure of k . We can construct a Lie algebra $L_P = P \otimes L$ over P , as in [3, section 8]. Set $\Psi : L \longrightarrow L_P$ by sending x to $1 \otimes x$. It is clear that L_P is a Lie algebra over P and Ψ is homomorphic with $\ker \Psi = 0$ over k . Let

$$\bar{r} = (\Psi \otimes \Psi)(r).$$

Obviously, $\bar{r}$ is strongly symmetric. Therefore $\bar{r}$ is a solution of CYBE in L_P and so is r in L . $\square$

3 Coboundary Lie bialgebras

In this section, using the general solution, which are obtained in the section above, of CYBE in Lie algebra L with $\dim L \leq 3$, we give the the sufficient and necessary conditions which $(L, [\], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary (or triangular) Lie bialgebra over field k of characteristic 2. Throughout this section, the characteristic of field k is 2.

We now observe the connection between solutions of CYBE and triangular Lie bialgebra structures.

Lemma 3.1 *If $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$ then*

$$x \cdot C(r) = (1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x)$$

for any $x \in L$

Proof: Let $r = \sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i - b_i \otimes a_i)$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} C(r) &= \sum_{i,k} ([a_k, a_i] \otimes b_k \otimes b_i - [a_k, b_i] \otimes b_k \otimes a_i - [b_k, a_i] \otimes a_k \otimes b_i \\ &\quad + [b_k, b_i] \otimes a_k \otimes a_i + a_k \otimes [b_k, a_i] \otimes b_i - a_k \otimes [b_k, b_i] \otimes a_i \\ &\quad - b_k \otimes [a_k, a_i] \otimes b_i - b_k \otimes [a_k, a_i] \otimes b_i + b_k \otimes [a_k, b_i] \otimes a_i \\ &\quad + a_k \otimes a_i \otimes [b_k, b_i] - a_k \otimes b_i \otimes [b_k, a_i] - b_k \otimes a_i \otimes [a_k, b_i] \\ &\quad + b_k \otimes b_i \otimes [a_k, a_i]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x) \\
= & \sum_{i,k} ([x, a_k] \otimes [b_k, a_i] \otimes b_i + [x, a_k] \otimes a_i \otimes [b_k, b_i] - [x, a_k] \otimes [b_k, b_i] \otimes a_i \\
& - [x, a_k] \otimes b_i \otimes [b_k, a_i] - [x, b_k] \otimes [a_k, a_i] \otimes b_i - [x, b_k] \otimes a_i \otimes [a_k, b_i] \\
& + [x, b_k] \otimes [a_k, b_i] \otimes a_i + [x, b_k] \otimes b_i \otimes [a_k, a_i] + a_k \otimes [[x, b_k], a_i] \otimes b_i \\
& + a_k \otimes a_i \otimes [[x, b_k], b_i] - a_k \otimes [[x, b_k], b_i] \otimes a_i - a_k \otimes b_i \otimes [[x, b_k], a_i] \\
& - b_k \otimes [[x, a_k], a_i] \otimes b_i - b_k \otimes a_i \otimes [[x, a_k], b_i] + b_k \otimes [[x, a_k], b_i] \otimes a_i \\
& + b_k \otimes b_i \otimes [[x, a_k], a_i])
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the sum of the terms whose third factor includes element x in $(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 + \Delta)\Delta(x)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,k} \{ [b_k, a_i] \otimes b_i \otimes [x, a_k] + a_i \otimes [b_k, b_i] \otimes [x, a_k] - [b_k, b_i] \otimes a_i \otimes [x, a_k] \\
& - b_i \otimes [b_k, a_i] \otimes [x, a_k] - [a_k, a_i] \otimes b_i \otimes [x, b_k] - a_i \otimes [a_k, b_i] \otimes [x, b_k] \\
& + [a_k, b_i] \otimes a_i \otimes [x, b_k] + b_i \otimes [a_k, a_i] \otimes [x, b_k] \\
& + (b_i \otimes a_k \otimes [[x, b_k], a_i] - b_k \otimes a_i \otimes [[x, a_k], b_i]) \\
& + (a_k \otimes a_i \otimes [[x, b_k], b_i] - a_i \otimes a_k \otimes [[x, b_k], b_i]) \\
& + (-a_k \otimes b_i \otimes [[x, b_k], a_i] + a_i \otimes b_k \otimes [[x, a_k], b_i]) \\
& + (-b_i \otimes b_k \otimes [[x, a_k], a_i] + b_k \otimes b_i \otimes [[x, a_k], a_i]) \} \\
= & (1 \otimes 1 \otimes L_x)C(r) \quad \text{by Jacobi identity}
\end{aligned}$$

where L_x denotes the adjoint action $L_x(y) = [x, y]$ of L on L .

Consequently,

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x) = (L_x \otimes L_x \otimes L_x)C(r) = x \cdot C(r)$$

for any $x \in L$. $\square$

By Lemma 3.1 and [5, Proposition 2.11], we have:

Theorem 3.2 *$(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a triangular Lie bialgebra iff r is a solution of CYBE in L and $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$*

Consequently, we can easily get a triangular Lie bialgebra structure by means of a solution of CYBE.

Theorem 3.3 *Let L be a Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = \alpha e_1, [e_3, e_1] = \beta e_2$, where $\alpha, \beta \in k$. Then*

- (I) $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra iff $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$;
 (II) $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a triangular Lie bialgebra iff $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$ and r is α, β - symmetric to the basis of $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$

Proof. (I) Obviously, $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$ when $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra. Conversely, if $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$, let $r = p(e_1 \otimes e_2) - p(e_2 \otimes e_1) + s(e_1 \otimes e_3) - s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) - u(e_3 \otimes e_2)$. It is sufficient to show that

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ by [5, Proposition 2.11]. First, by computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_1) \\ &= \{(e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3)(\beta ps - \beta ps) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\beta ps) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1)(-\beta sp)\} \\ &+ \{(e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2)(-\beta ps + \beta ps) + (e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\beta ps) + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3)(\beta sp)\} \\ &+ \{(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\alpha \beta su) + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\beta \alpha su) + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)(0)\} \\ &+ \{(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3)(-\alpha pu) + (e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1)(\alpha pu) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)(0)\} \\ &+ \{(e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(-\beta^2 s^2) + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2)(0) + (e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(\beta^2 s^2)\} \\ &+ \{(e_3 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1)(p^2) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3)(-p^2) + (e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_3)(0)\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_1) = 0$$

Similarly, we have that

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_2) = 0$$

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_3) = 0$$

Thus $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra.

(II) It follows from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 2.2 $\square$

Example 3.4 Under Example 2.3, we have the following:

- (i) $(sl(2), [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra iff $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$;
 (ii) $(sl(2), [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r, r)$ is a triangular Lie bialgebra iff r is 0, 0- symmetric to the basis of $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ iff $r = s(e_1 \otimes e_3) + s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) + u(e_3 \otimes e_2)$.

Theorem 3.5 Let L be a Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, [e_1, e_3] = e_1 + \beta e_2, [e_2, e_3] = \delta e_2,$$

where $\delta, \beta \in k$ and $\delta = 1$ when $\beta \neq 0$. Let $p, s, u \in k$ and $r = p(e_1 \otimes e_2) - p(e_2 \otimes e_1) + s(e_1 \otimes e_3) - s(e_3 \otimes e_1) + u(e_2 \otimes e_3) - u(e_3 \otimes e_2)$. Then

(I) $(L, [\], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra iff $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$ and

$$(\delta + 1)((\delta + 1)u + \beta s)s = 0;$$

(II) $(L, [\], \Delta_r, r)$ is a triangular Lie bialgebra iff $r \in (1 - \tau)$ and

$$\beta s + (1 + \delta)us = 0.$$

Proof . (I) We get by computation

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_1) \\
= & \{(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\beta\delta ss - \delta us) + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\beta\delta ss + \delta us) + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)(0)\} \\
& + \{(e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\beta us + uu + \beta^2 s^2 - \beta su) \\
& + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\beta us - uu + \beta us - \beta^2 s^2) + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2)(0)\} \\
& (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_2) \\
= & \{(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\delta^2 ss) + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\delta^2 s^2) + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)(0)\} \\
& + \{(e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(\delta\beta ss - \delta su) + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\delta su - \delta\beta ss) + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2)(0)\} \\
& (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_3) \\
= & \{(e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3)(\delta su + \beta ss) + (e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1)(-\delta us - \beta ss) \\
& + (e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\delta\delta us + \beta\delta ss + \beta ss - su)\} \\
& + \{(e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2)(-\delta su - \beta ss) + (e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\beta\delta ss - \delta\delta us - \beta ss + su) \\
& + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3)(\delta us + \beta ss)\} \\
& + \{(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(-\delta sp - \delta\delta ps + sp + \delta sp) \\
& + (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(\delta ps + \delta\delta ps - \delta sp - sp) + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)(0)\} \\
& + \{(e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_3)(ss) + (e_1 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_1)(-ss) + (e_3 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1)(0)\} \\
& + \{(e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1)(-\beta ps + pu - \delta\beta sp - \delta\delta up - \delta\beta ps + \delta pu - \beta sp - \delta up) \\
& + (e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2)(\beta ps - pu + \delta\beta sp + \delta\delta up + \delta\beta ps - \delta pu + \beta sp + \delta up) \\
& - (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2)(0)\} \\
& + \{(e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_3)(\delta\delta uu + \delta\beta us + \beta\delta us + \beta\beta ss) \\
& + (e_2 \otimes e_3 \otimes e_2)(-\delta\delta uu - \delta\beta us - \beta\delta us - \beta\beta ss) + (e_3 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2)(0)\}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_1) = 0$$

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_2) = 0$$

and

$$(1 + \xi + \xi^2)(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_3) = 0$$

iff

$$\delta^2 us + \delta \beta s^2 + \beta s^2 - us = 0.$$

This implies that $(L, [\], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra iff $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$ and

$$(\delta + 1)((\delta + 1)u + \beta s)s = 0$$

(II) It follows from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 2.4 $\square$

Theorem 3.6 *If L is a Lie algebra with $\dim L = 2$ and $r \in L \otimes L$, then $(L, [\], \Delta_r, r)$ is a triangular Lie bialgebra iff $(L, [\], \Delta_r, r)$ is a coboundary Lie bialgebra iff $r \in \text{Im}(1 - \tau)$*

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of the main result of [5]. $\square$

References

- [1] *a.a. Belavin and V.G.Drinfel'd, solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equations for simple Lie algebras, Functional anal. appl, 16, No. 3, 159-180.*
- [2] *V.G.Drinfel'd, Quantum groups, in "Proceedings International Congress of Mathematicians, august 3-11, 1986, Berkeley, Ca" pp. 798-820, amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.*
- [3] *N.Jacobson, Lie algebras, Interscience publishers a division of John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962.*
- [4] *S. Majid, Physics for algebraists: Non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction, J.Algebra 130 (1990), 17-64.*
- [5] *W. Michaelis, a class of infinite-dimensional Lie bialgebras containing the Virasoro algebra, advances in mathematics, 107 (1994), 365-392.*
- [6] *W. Michaelis, Lie coalgebras, Advances in mathematics, 38 (1980), 1-54.*
- [7] *W. Michaelis, The dual Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, Advances in mathematics, 57 (1985), 93-162.*
- [8] *E.J.Taft, Witt and Virasoro algebras as Lie bialgebras, J.Pure Appl. bialgebra, 87 (1993), 301-312.*
- [9] *C.N.Yang and M.L.Ge, eds., Braid group, knot theory and statistical mechanics, eds. C.N.Yang and M.L.Ge (World scientific , Singapore, 1989).*
- [10] *Shouchuan Zhang , Classical Yang-Baxter equation and low dimensional triangular Lie bialgebras , Physics Letters A, to appear.*